Laytonville Landfill – Greenaction Follow-up Briefing September 5, 2018

At a 7/21/18 meeting with community representatives, a representative of a resident of the Round Valley Indian Reservation made several statements and two asks. This briefing provides context, background, and a discussion of each statement.

Context:

EPA seeks to quantify "safe" levels of potential contaminants, collect environmental data, compare test results to local <u>background</u> and the "safe" levels determined by the Agency, and take action accordingly. EPA does not evaluate public health burden through an examination of excess morbidity or mortality because disease is multifactorial: chasing concerns about disease and death produces lots of false positives.

Background:

Summary:

The EPA has been engaged in analysis and evaluation of environmental data regarding the Laytonville Landfill since the early 2000's. Intermittently, community concerns are raised about past practices at the landfill, presence of hazardous wastes in the landfill, and ongoing environmental and public health risks presented by the landfill. *To date, all data evaluated by the EPA indicates no need for action*.

Laytonville landfill operated from 1967 to 1993 and was closed with a final cover in 1997. Mendocino County has owned and operated the landfill since it opened. The landfill is a 35-acre site, of which 7 acres were used for disposal. The landfill accepted residential wastes and small percentages of commercial and demolition waste from the surrounding area. No designated or hazardous wastes (special wastes, liquids, sludges or slurries) were accepted for disposal.

•	Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Major undertakings:

- 2001 Report by ATSDR (included in 2005 report)
 - Addressed Groundwater Community Questions.
- 2003 EPA Preliminary Assessment Site Investigation (Region 9 Superfund)
 - Looked at available environmental data and collected new data to evaluate whether site should be proposed for listing on the NPL. Concluded that it did not warrant listing.
- 2005 Report by ATSDR
 - Looked at 12 exposure pathways. Also examined health outcome data by the California
 Cancer Registry from 1974-1994. Health outcome data examination produced no significant findings. Made recommendations about ways to improve community health.
- 2016 Sampling by Cahto Tribe
 - Findings consistent with BIA sampling below, with exception of hexavalent chrome. Found free metals, arsenic, lead, hexavalent chromium minor hits, within background for the area, and above EPA's Residential Screening Level, but below Action Levels and within background.
- 2017/2018 BIA Sampling
 - Examined soil and tap water.
 - o In soil: arsenic, lead present. Dioxin, furans sampled, with one location producing results just above the Action Level, at an open dump area where burning had been conducted.
 - In water: EPA reviewed the arsenic levels in 22 water samples. Sample locations could not be confirmed; none-the-less, all samples met the federal and state drinking water standard for arsenic.
- 2018 CalEPA Sampling
 - Sampled primarily at areas where there might be discharge from landfill. Sampling complete but not yet shared with the EPA.

Issues raised 7/21/18:

Issue raised //21/18:	Further Information	Who
They burned trash at	The landfill was never operated, to	CalRecycle and CalEPA have
the landfill.	our knowledge, outside of permitted	more information on
	parameters. However, trash was	permitted practices at the
	burned at the landfill prior to EPA's	landfill.
	ban on the practice, at which point it	
	became a disposal landfill according	
	to regulations, and was later closed	
	according to regulations.	
The landfill has	We evaluated drinking water and	EPA (see major
contaminated the	found it fully compliant. Residents	undertakings), CalEPA for
environment.	are served with water from a local	ongoing investigation
	municipal water system regulated by	
	the state. Limited soils testing	
	conducted does not indicate	
	contamination above background.	
	ATSDR looked at air quality and	
	concluded no significant impacts	
	from landfill, but low-level VOCs may	
	be coming from landfill but do not	
	pose a health hazard. Report noted	
	impacts to air quality from backyard	
	burning of waste. CalEPA is collecting	
	and analyzing further data in 2018.	
The water and fish	ATSDR examined this issue in 2005	CalEPA and ATSDR
are contaminated.	and (FINDINGS).	
Everybody has	The 2005 ATSDR investigation found	ATSDR, CDPH
cancer.	that the cancer mortality rates within	
	a 1-mile radius of the landfill were	
	not increased over Mendocino	
	County rates.	
I feel bad in my	There are many possible causes for	
house (a visitor got	illness. We suggest you consult with	
dizzy within 10	your doctor.	
minutes of being		
inside a home).		

The representative made two asks:

- We want comprehensive testing on and off the Reservation.
 - EPA has evaluated data collected at and near the site dating from the 1970's and review of data indicates no need for further action. CalEPA is collecting data presently on the landfill, and EPA remains available to review that data and revisit our position if appropriate.
- We want to be moved.
 - o EPA does not have data that supports a relocation recommendation by this Agency.